

Appln. No. 09/914,773
Amd. dated December 19, 2005
Reply to Office Action of September 23, 2005

REMARKS

The Advisory dated January 19 2006, have been received, and their contents carefully noted.

It is understood that the amendment filed December 19, 2005, will now be entered and considered, along with the present submission.

Claim 6 has been amended to provide proper antecedent basis for all elements referred to therein.

In the Advisory action dated January 19, 2006, the Examiner stated that the: amendments to the specification... raise new issues and inconsistencies within the specification". Undersigned has carefully reviewed the specification, as now amended, and has not located any inconsistencies. Therefore, the Examiner is respectfully requested to identify any remaining inconsistencies in the specification.


* * * * *

Appln. No. 09/914,773
Amd. dated December 19, 2005
Reply to Office Action of September 23, 2005

If the present submissions do not now place the application in condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to call undersigned counsel to resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By 
Jay M. Finkelstein
Registration No. 21,082

JMF:dtb
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
G:\BN\H\HANE\UPMEYER4\PTO\AMD 14DEC05.doc